UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,578	09/27/2006	John Kerry	36-1980	5088
23117 7590 08/31/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
ARLINGTON,	ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/573,578	KERRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chandrika Prasad	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma	arch 200 <u>6</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		,					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/06. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
		4.0.4					

Application/Control Number: 10/573,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the device" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Other claims depend on Claim 1.
- 6. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the first" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the body" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,578

Art Unit: 2839

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 8-9, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown (CA 2 215 441).

Brown (Figs. 1-4) shows a device for connecting items of a telecommunication equipment to a telecommunication network comprising a hollow tube (body) 12 in the form of a tube with a cavity engaged in a bore through a wall, the tube including termination means 16, 18, 18 to terminate cables and connection means (connectors) 40, 44 to receive connectors of items of telecommunication equipment. Connection means are first interchangeable members suitable for coupling to a second interchangeable member coupled to an item of a telecommunication equipment. The first interchangeable means are line jack outlets. The device has a guide 27 to guide the path of the cable. The device is not tapered. The body has circumferential flanges 30, 36.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,578

Art Unit: 2839

11. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (CA 2 215 441).

Page 4

Brown discloses all the features of this claim except the body made of a plastic material. Plastic is a material widely used and commonly available for optical and electrical connectors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the body of a plastic material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

12. Claims 6-7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (CA 2 215 441).

Brown discloses all the features of these claims except the body being tapered and connectors snap-fitted in the cavity of the body. The use of a tapered body and snap-fit is common knowledge, well known and widely used in the art of electrical and optical connectors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide these features for their intended purposes (tapered surface for smooth fitting and snap-fit for tool-less coupling) which are common knowledge, well known and widely used in the art of electrical and optical connectors.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 attached.

Contact Information

14. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 10/573,578

Art Unit: 2839

Page 5

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasac Primary examiner August 29, 2007